Cardiovascular Disease Among International Travellers
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Abstract

Introduction: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death among international travellers. This study aims to estimate the proportion of travellers diagnosed with CVD during international travel.

Methods: A narrative synthesis of peer-reviewed literature was conducted on CVD among international travellers. Healthcare databases and sources of grey literature were searched using pre-defined criteria between February and June 2019. Two reviewers screened all the identified studies against protocol and extracted data using a piloted form.

Results: Eight studies were eligible for final analysis. Four studies evaluated data from GeoSentinel Clinic databases. The number of study participants varied across studies from a minimum of 24 to a maximum of 63,076. Between 0.1% and 14% of international travellers were reported or diagnosed with CVD while travelling abroad. CVD was common in male travellers as compared with female travellers. There was a lack of information on pre-existing morbidity, smoking status, obesity in all included studies.

Conclusion: This review provides a first-time estimate of the proportion of international travellers with CVD while travelling overseas. Hence, preventive measures to minimize CVD risk such as sufficient exercises during long-distance flights, progressive acclimatization to altitude, wearing a face mask in polluted areas, maintaining a healthy lifestyle, and nutrition during travelling should be considered. CVD should be an important part of pre-travel health advice.

Keywords: Risk Factors, Pre-travel Health Consultation, Overseas

Introduction

Globally, cardiovascular disease (CVD) and its risk factors, such as tobacco use, high blood pressure, obesity, and lack of physical inactivity are the leading causes of morbidity and mortality.1,2 The international commitment to address this issue is very clear, thus the Sustainable Development Goals3 and the WHO 25×25 Global Action Plan4 have set targets to reduce premature mortality from CVD. Yet, CVD remains the most common cause of mortality in all human populations.5,6

International travel continues to increase significantly, with over 1.5 billion travellers worldwide in 2019 and this figure is projected to reach 1.8 billion by 2030.7,8 International travel may pose risks to cardiovascular health, depending on both the health needs of travellers and the type of travel undertaken.9,10 Travellers may encounter sudden and significant changes in altitude, humidity, temperature, pollution, which may result in cardiac events.9 All travelling individuals are often advised to seek advice on the potential hazard in their chosen destinations and understand how best to protect their health.9,10 However, pre-travel health consultations often emphasize infectious diseases; diarrhoea, malaria, hepatitis A and B, typhoid fever, tetanus/diphtheria, influenza, pneumococcus, polio, meningitis, measles, mumps, rubella, varicella, and rabies.11-13 Meanwhile, evidence suggests that infectious disease accounts for only one percent of all traveller deaths despite being a prominent focus for travel clinics and government agencies working to reduce risk abroad.14

Reports among Australian, Canadian, and Finnish citizens travelling abroad indicate that CVD accounts for the greatest proportion of all deaths during travel.15-17 One study on US travellers found that 49% of all deaths were due to CVD, much more than deaths due to accidents and infections.14

Given that CVD is the leading cause of death among international travellers; therefore, there is a need for accurate data on the proportion of international travellers who are reported or diagnosed with CVDs while travelling overseas.18 However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has been conducted. The present study aimed to fill the knowledge gap.
and contribute to CVD prevention programs. Estimating the proportion of international travellers who acquire a travel-related disease (CVD) is useful in observing trends over time. Additionally, it is essential for health professionals who offer health advice to travellers to enable them to pass on optimal pre-travel advice to their patients.

Methods

A combination of the following keywords was used as search terms: “international travel” OR “overseas travel” OR “foreign travel” OR “international visit” OR “intercontinental travel” OR “global travel” AND “Cardiovascular diseases” OR ((cardiovascular OR heart) N1 disease) OR angina OR stroke OR blood pressure OR hypertension OR myocardial infarction OR ischemic heart disease OR heart failure OR cardiac event- in order to broaden the search. Only observational studies (prospective and retrospective, case-control, cross-sectional studies, etc) reporting on the incidence of CVD among international travellers were included in this study. This is because observational studies rely on natural and “ecological” events of exposure and disease, where the researchers simply observe certain characteristics of the sample population as they occur “naturally” and record the relevant. The underpinning research question was What proportion of international traveller’s are reported or diagnosed with the CVD while travelling abroad? The term international travel or traveller(s) was defined according to the World Tourism Organisation (UNWTO) definition. Thus, the purpose of travelling should be classified under one of the following headings: leisure (including recreation, holiday, health, study, religion, and sport), excursion, business, family visits, and conferences. Research papers on migrants, refugees and internal displacement persons, local travellers (people travelling within the same country of origin) were excluded from this review. A comprehensive search was conducted in the following databases; Web of Science, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, CINAHL. Searches were performed in the later part of 2019 using Liverpool John Moores University’s electronic library and restricted to peer-reviewed studies in the publication timeframe from January 2000 to December 2019. Studies included were limited to only English Language and studies from all geographical settings were also included. In addition, reference lists from relevant research papers and documents were manually screened. Searches were conducted by breaking down the review question into Population and Outcomes elements as detailed in Table 1. Boolean terms ‘AND’, ‘OR’ were used to combine different elements of the search. Initial keywords were subject to the Boolean term, OR. It was then combined using the Boolean operator ‘AND’. Database-specific terms and filtering options were utilized where appropriate. To produce a complete search ‘SubjectMajor and MeSH’ terms were used where applicable. The search strategies were peer-reviewed by a librarian to ensure methodological quality. Titles and abstracts of articles returned from the databases were screened based on the eligibility criteria. Full texts were examined in detail and screened for eligibility. References of all relevant articles were hand-searched to identify any relevant studies. A search of grey literature was also conducted. A flow chart (Figure 1) was used to report the number of studies remaining at each stage of the study selection. All included studies from PubMed, CINAHL Plus, and Web of science were transferred to the Endnote X6 software package (Thomson Reuters, San Francisco, CA, USA) where duplicates were removed. Two independent reviewers were involved in the screening and selection of articles. Data extraction was performed using Microsoft Excel. Details extracted were categorised into author, year, study design, sample characteristics, the purpose of travelling, and outcome measures.

Summary Measures and Synthesis of Results

In this study, statistical pooling was not feasible due to the high level of heterogeneity and lack of available data to calculate standardized effect estimates (e.g., odds ratio, risk ratio, and standardized mean difference). Furthermore, information on statistical significance was missing from many included studies. Therefore, a qualitative approach was adopted to narratively synthesize the results. Hence, a qualitative approach was adopted to narratively synthesize the results.

Results

Study Selection and Study Characteristics

After inputting the comprehensive search terms (Table 1) into the three databases, the records of 4,997 studies were identified from the searches and other sources. After the removal of duplicates and application of the inclusion/exclusion criteria, 58 articles were identified for a full-text review. A further 50 were removed for being irrelevant to the topic. We identified eight studies for the final analysis. All the studies were observational. Four studies evaluated the data from the GeoSentinel clinics’ database and three studies analysed the data from population-based registries. One study had enrolled study participants directly.

Purpose of Travelling

The main purposes of travelling among all study participants were as follows: tourism/holidays (48%), business (44%), volunteering (4%), visiting friends and relatives (2%), professional (1%) and others (1%). ‘Others travellers’ included those on medical tourism and military purposes.

Summary of Evidence

The percentage proportion of study participants who were reported or diagnosed with CVD during international travel

Table 1. Search terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“international travel” OR “overseas travel” OR “foreign travel” OR “international visit” OR “intercontinental travel” OR “global travel” AND “Cardiovascular diseases” OR ((cardiovascular OR heart) N1 disease) OR angina OR stroke OR blood pressure OR hypertension OR myocardial infarction OR ischemic heart disease OR heart failure OR cardiac event</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh+ Cardiovascular diseases* OR ((cardiovascular OR heart) N1 disease) OR angina OR stroke OR blood pressure OR hypertension OR myocardial infarction OR ischemic heart disease OR heart failure OR cardiac event*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
varied across studies from a maximum of 14% to a minimum of 0.1%. CVD was reported or diagnosed more commonly in male travellers than that of female travellers. Sanftenberg and colleagues reported that women were less likely to be reported or diagnosed with CVD during international travel as compared to men (OR, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.47-0.66). Proportionate morbidity due to CVD was higher in old travellers compared to that of young travellers. Gautret and colleagues revealed a linear positive association between age, heart disease, and death among international travellers. These trends were very significant (P < 0.001). Four studies provided data on how travel destination impacts CVD; Sanftenberg and colleagues reported that 7.7% of German business travellers to China were reported or diagnosed with CVD while Shaw and colleagues noted that 14% of travellers on a premium tour to Indochina were reported of CVD. Additionally, Thompson and colleagues reported that 6.3% of tourists who visited Jamaica were reported or diagnosed with CVD while Lerdal and colleagues found a high incidence of CVD among Norwegian travelling to Southern and Eastern Europe as compared to other regions. However, all these studies lacked statistical power (statistically insignificant). Four studies provided data on travel duration. However, there was no evidence of a clear pattern as to how the travel duration impacted CVD. Five studies provided data on pre-travel health consultation. However, the impact of pre-travel health consultation on CVD was not assessed. The most important analytic characteristics are displayed in Table 2.

Discussion
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first narrative review in this field that estimates the proportion of travellers diagnosed with CVD while traveling abroad. A major strength of this review is the analysis of studies published from 2000 to 2019. This narrative review was built on a strong foundation; there were clear search strategies, fully documented inclusion and exclusion criteria, decision-making by more than one researcher, and clearly documented data extraction. We have complete confidence that we have not missed any major sources of evidence and that any conclusion drawn is firmly rooted in the best evidence available.

Generally, gender is one of the key socio-demographic variables that can influence travel behaviour. Research implicates gender as a risk factor for travel-related illness. In this review, there was a high incidence of CVD among men than women. This may be due to the complexity of activities more often experienced by women rather than men during travelling. Thus, women are more likely to commute short distances, have more non-work-related trips, travel at off-peak hours, and choose more flexible modes compared to men. Hence, gender should be an important part of pre-travel health advice. Morbidity associated with CVD during travelling was higher in older travellers. It was estimated that 15 to 30% of the total number of international travellers are people aged 60 years and over. There is also a greater tendency of a cardiac event in this age group. Hence healthcare professionals should consider age as an important factor when they are advising people travelling internationally.

Older travellers should take precautions to prevent potential exacerbations of cardiovascular health during international travel. It is recommended that older travellers should use anti-thrombosis compression stockings, maintain sufficient hydration and exercise during long-distance travel. This help

Figure 1. Study Selection.
to protect their cardiovascular health and minimize the risk of cardiac events when travelling.\textsuperscript{8,10}

**Limitations**

There was no information on pre-existing morbidity, smoking state, obesity that could all independently impact the prognosis. The study population is probably not representative of the general travellers hence there could be selection bias. In addition, the lack of randomization in all included studies may bias the results. Since randomisation provides a rigorous tool to examine cause-effect relationships between exposure and outcome. Studies included in this review vary, particularly regarding setting, data collection, outcomes measures, and types of analysis, thus making it difficult to make direct comparisons across studies.

**Conclusion**

There was an incidence of CVD related to international travel in all included studies that collectively suggesting the exposure to varying levels of cardiovascular risk factors during international travel. There was a substantial knowledge gap on how traveller's lifestyle and behaviour-risk have impacts on CVD. The effect of frequent international travels on CVD stage in providing data on the number of people affected by CVD. The number of person diagnosed with CVD were 519 (0.8\%) at various GeoSentinel sites. However, it was statistically significant compared to other medical diagnosis. Travel duration-25 days

**Recommendation**

Comprehensive data collection would be an important step in providing more useful information that is not currently available with respect to pre-existing health conditions, pre-

---

**Table 2. Summary of Extracted Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>Summary of Methods/Time-Frame/Study Design</th>
<th>Sample Characteristics</th>
<th>Purpose of Travel</th>
<th>Relevant Findings/Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al., 2018\textsuperscript{8,9}</td>
<td>Descriptive analysis was performed on ill business travellers from 1997-2014. Traveller were evaluated after an international travel at GeoSentinel clinic. Study design: Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>N=12203 M=67% F=33% Age range 20-64, 65 years</td>
<td>Business-(100%)</td>
<td>26 (0.1%) were diagnose of CVD after an international travel at GeoSentinel clinic. P-value was not documented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedman et al., 2006\textsuperscript{10}</td>
<td>Thirty GeoSentinel sites data for ill returned travellers from developing world were analysed from 1996 to 2004. Study design: Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>N=17,353 M=52% F=48% Age range 26-45 years</td>
<td>Tourism-59% Business-14% Professional-4% Volunteer-8% VFR-8% Others-7</td>
<td>119 (0.8%) international travellers were diagnosed of CVD after travelling to the developing world. P-value was not documented. Travel duration-23 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gautret et al., 2012\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>Medical data were collected on ill international travellers presenting to GeoSentinel sites from 1997 to 2009. Patients aged 60 years and over were compared to patients aged 18-45 years Study design: Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>N=63076 F=50.3% M=49.7% Age range 18-45 years, ≥60 years</td>
<td>Tourism-60% Business-20.05% Professional-1.2% Volunteer-10.9% VFR-7.5% Others-0.35</td>
<td>The number of person diagnosed with CVD were 519 (0.8%) at various GeoSentinel sites. However, it was statistically significant compared to other medical diagnosis. Travel duration-25 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanftenberg et al., 2010\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>Data from GeoSentinel network’s sites from 1997 to 2007 according to demographic characteristics and travel related morbidity were analysed. Study design: Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>N=58,908 F=50.3% M=49.7% Age range ≤10, ≤30 years</td>
<td>Business-24.5% Tourism-61.7% Professional-1.6% Voluntary-10.6% Others-1.6</td>
<td>622 (2.1%) were diagnose of CVD. Of which females were 211 (0.7%) and males 411 (1.4%). There was a significant difference between both gender (p&lt;0.001). Travel duration-≥30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lerdal et al., 2007\textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td>Data from Norway’s largest insurance company was analysed for prevalence of illness and injury according to age, gender, type of illness or injury, travel destination, type of travel, and the impact on return travel in 2013. Study design: Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>N=1326 M=73.9% F=26.1% Age range 4523.4 years</td>
<td>Business-93.5% Tourism-6.5%</td>
<td>125 (6.2%) reported of CVD However, p-value was not specified. Travel duration- Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanftenberg et al., 2019\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>2011 to 2013, calls for service of German business travellers to China were collected by a medical assistance provider and evaluated. Study design: Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>N=432 M=73.9% F=26.1% Age range-Not specify</td>
<td>Business (100%)</td>
<td>37 (7.3%) reported of CVD. Of which 31 (8.8%) were males and 6 (16.2%) were females. However, p-value was not documented. Travel duration- Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson et al., 2003\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>Records of health problems occurring in tourists who visited Jamaica from 1998 to 2002 were reviewed for the type of illness and how the problem was handled. Study design: Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>N=743 M=49.8% F=50.2% Age range ≥5, ≥60+ years</td>
<td>Tourism-100%</td>
<td>47 (6.3%) reported CVD as an occurrence of illness while visiting Jamaica. 33 (4.4%) males and 14 (1.8%) females reported with CVD. P-value-Not specified Travel duration- Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaw et al., 2009\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>The tour physician diagnosed and recorded all illnesses and injuries among travellers on a premium tour to Indochina in 2004. Study design: Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>N=24 M=34.3% F=65.7% Age range 62± 13 years</td>
<td>Tourism-100%</td>
<td>Primary illnesses diagnosed related to CVD was 14% among travellers. P-value not specified. Travel duration-18 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, females; M, males; N, sample size; VFR, Visiting family and relatives
Note: Professional stands for Research/education. Others stands for medical tourism, military. Risk factors assessed were age, gender, travel destinations and travel medium used.
existing knowledge about the destination, the exact nature of the travel (e.g. business, visiting friends and relatives, education, holiday), length of stay in the host country, the type of CVD, and major risk factors associated with CVD during international travel.

**Health Action Needed**
CVD is the greatest contributor to morbidity and mortality worldwide hence healthcare professionals need to maximise their impact on the prevention of this avoidable illness, health protection, and promotion of well-being for all. Healthcare professionals involved in travel medicine should endeavour to make every contact count, encourage behaviour change to reduce the risk with a focus on a poor diet, smoking, and excess alcohol during international travelling.

International travel exposes many people to a range of health risks. Many of these risks can be minimized by precautions taken in advance during, and after travel. Hence healthcare professionals need to be skilled and competent to offer timely advice and information relating to international travel.
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