Introduction

The first attack against an aircraft had already happened six months after the first man-made flying device, De Rozier balloon flew in 1873. An angry young officer intended to cut the mooring ropes of the balloon because his travel was denied. It seems that the aviation security was strained even in the eve of the aviation history.\(^1\) It can be seen that the problem of aviation security has been in existence from the very beginning of aviation history.\(^1\)

The first terrorist attack against a Canadian aircraft in the early 1940s resulted that the Chicago Convention\(^2\) obliged all member states to establish a national authority to devise a security program to prevent dangerous objects being brought on board.\(^3\) “Modern” or international terrorism began in 1968 with attacks against Israeli airplanes.\(^4\) This terrorism was triggered by the tension in the Middle East, the struggle between right- and left-wing ideologies, and crisis situations in ethnic, nationalist, and religious struggles. The first security measure involved improved checking of luggage, and suspected explosives were often found in unattended baggage.\(^5\)

The regulations at that time assumed that terrorists did not want to die. That was a reasonable hypothesis until the tragedy in Lockerbie in 1988. As a result, the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) suggested stronger security measures for the screening of passengers, luggage, and cargo.\(^6\) With the emergence of suicide terrorists, this theory was abandoned. Additional steps in security involved checking x-ray devices, metal sensor gates, and automatic equipment for the detection of explosives. The attack against the World Trade Center in 2001 has had a great impact on the tourism industry.\(^7\) This attack radically changed security measures and the attitude towards passengers, their rights to privacy, and the safety of sensitive data. An almost hysterical safety attitude has emerged, and security checks are often based on an emotional approach, rather than evidence-based screening. Full-body screening is widely accepted and is practiced under suspicious circumstances.\(^8\) In addition, the 9/11 events raised the question of air safety to the political agenda of the EU Council. A common EU standard was adopted and safety
issues became part of the EU transportation policy. In 2006, police in London discovered a so-called liquid bomb. It was alleged that the bomb was destined to blow up a transatlantic aircraft. Although the events and the real danger of a liquid bomb were controversial, the consequences were serious. The passenger should not bring more than 100 mL fluids through the security check-points, and any LAG materials (liquid, aerosol, gel) are considered dangerous and – consequently – prohibited items. Gate checkpoints were implemented in the United States, because the passengers could buy some beverages in the secure zone of the airport, too. Baggage size was restricted to $56 \times 45 \times 25$ cm. The EU Parliament confirmed this type of restriction and allowed for the presence of air marshals on airplanes, which has been routine on US airlines since 1963. An EU regulation was also issued concerning disabled persons. In this regulation, similar to previous regulations, there is no mention of sick passengers or repatriation, accompanying medical personnel, and their equipment. The illness itself is rarely mentioned among the travelers’ complaints; it is usually forgotten or becomes a story of the adventure. Yet, some kinds of illnesses are an indication for breaking the journey, and the returning or repatriation of the traveler may be needed.

**Repatriation of Stretcher Patients on Regular Flights**

The patient transportation on stretcher for a long haul trip is rather costly. The most economical way is proved to be the repatriation by regular flight, where the stretcher located above six or more row of seats. The stretcher patient must be escorted by medical staff. The patient and the staff is taken to the airport by an ambulance, but the ambulance must not enter the security zone. Thus the patient must be transposed into the ambulance of airport medical service (AMS), from AMS ambulance into the medilift which elevated the stretcher up to the cabin level, and from medilift to the stretcher of the aircraft. The multiple transposition causes a so-called transportation trauma and could cause pain and circulation disturbances. The situation of the patient in vacuum-mattress is even worse.

There is probably only one medically acceptable solution to this problem; the stretcher from the regular ambulance should be taken directly to a medical lift in the airport and the same process should occur at the destination. On-site screening of the medical escort should not be a problem. The repatriation organizing company often faces challenges caused by a lack of direct flights from the evacuation site to the destination. This interruption of medical repatriation involves four extra movements for the patient. The medical room for civilians within the airport security area should not be merely a quarantine room; the medical room should be appropriately equipped with food and drinking water and communication facilities. Unfortunately, only a few airports have such amenities. The treatment and care of the repatriated patient is the exclusive task of the medical escort, and obviously they need their medical equipment, medicines and tools. This could be the next “sickurity” problem.

**Is the Escorting Physician a Security Risk?**

In the case of medical repatriation on a commercial flight, a nurse or physician escort usually has to accompany and observe the patient during the repatriation process. Medical escorts must carry appropriate equipment (defibrillator, battery operated suction and infusion pumps) which could be suspicious. The most pharmaceuticals contain “dangerous” raw materials, the vital fluids (infusions) usual volume are more than the permitted 100 mL. Gels and aerosols are common in a doctor’s case and the surgical devices (forceps, scalpels, needles) could also considered as dangerous objects. All the portable medical devices (infusion pump, defibrillator, monitor, insulin pumps, etc.) work by battery and contain electrical circuits. If the authorities at the airport take the plunge to buy equipment with advanced technology the medical substances could be checked and could be identified without breaking their sterility. All the medical equipment if they are approved for in-flight use, are should be considered safe from a point-of-view of aviation security.

In theory, under air terminal regulations, a person can be exempted from the requirements, such as a valid airport ID, and obligatory background checks on the condition that he/she is escorted during his/her stay in the security restricted area (SRA). Exceptions could be made by the local authority in question if they are willing to do so; nevertheless, this treatment is not possible for any other party, including authorities in another airport or the captain of an aircraft. Therefore, it is assumed that medical escorts can leave their homeland with medical equipment, but there is no guarantee that they can board with the patient on return. The use of an on-board medical emergency kit might seem to be a solution, but such equipment is inappropriate. First, the kit is not intended for advanced or tailored patient care, but for in-flight emergencies only. Second, the kit is only available on board the airplane. All through the journey to the airport and transfer onto the airplane, appropriate equipment and medical instruments are important. When a patient needs oxygen supply, the escorting medical staff should carry an extra bottle of oxygen to avoid the “check-in oxygen gap.” Carrying any oxygen cylinder, which does not belong to the air company is highly prohibited on board an aircraft. Unfortunately, the portable oxygen concentrator cannot solve the problem in most cases due to the fact that it is unable to maintain reduced oxygen flow or $\text{FiO}_2$. Medical certificates are mandatory only if a patient requires a stretcher or wheelchair to the aircraft seat.

**Conclusion**

Despite the threat of terrorism, pandemics, and natural disasters, worldwide travel is booming. The incidence of travel-related medical problems and consequently the number of repatriations will increase with the number of travelers. Recent safety regulations make medical repatriation difficult. Majority of repatriations occur on regular flights. Patients with minor medical problem or injuries of the upper extremities can easily travel and often require only the use of a wheelchair at the airport. There is no problem when a critically ill patient is repatriated by air ambulance because aircraft usually wait outside the SRA. In contrast, stretcher patients and their medical escorts can pose security risks. Even if local (domestic) authorities co-operate in overcoming the security problems, other authorities will not necessarily do so.
The problems relating to patient transport and movement and the carrying of necessary medical equipment require a satisfactory solution and standard procedures. An international protocol is necessary to avoid security problems without affecting the patient's interests. Competent medical suggestions by travel medicine specialists, aviation medicine professionals, and insurance physicians would surely help lawmakers in working out appropriate regulations in this particular field. Unfortunately, the legislative route is long and bureaucratic. The authority in an International Air Transport Association member state must submit a proposal on a particular topic before the ICAO would follow its normal process to create standards and recommended procedures. Skepticism could hamper the effectiveness of debating the issue in medical circles; it might seem that the process is merely a paperwork exercise by the authorities and not a matter for medical circles.
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