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Abstract
Introduction: The geographical movement of people from one area to another poses the threat of transmission of infectious diseases. Kenya is among the vulnerable countries when it comes to disease transmission, because it is a major transport hub in East Africa, yet data on the availability and uptake of pre-travel health services is limited.

Methods: A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted to determine the uptake of pre-travel health services. The systematic sampling method was used to obtain a sample size of 384 travelers among those in the waiting lounge prior to departure; four key informants were chosen purposively. A self-administered questionnaire was used for data collection. The results of data analysis are presented in the form of tables, graphs, charts, and text.

Results: The majority of respondents (70.6%) knew of at least one health service offered to international travelers in Kenya. The most sought-after pre-travel health service was vaccination (70.97%), but very few (13.93%) travelers sought pre-travel health advice on how to stay healthy while abroad. The majority of travelers were positive about pre-travel health services. The Port Health Department focuses more on the health of international arrivals as opposed to departures; there are no functional travel health clinics.

Conclusion: The results indicated that the government pays little attention to departing international travelers. Therefore, it is important for the government to develop policies, guidelines, and structures that will ensure that pre-travel health services are received by travelers prior to departure. Travel clinics need to be set up to increase the uptake of pre-travel health services. Moreover, further research should be conducted.
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Introduction
Data provided by the World Tourism Organization indicates that tourism has grown by 500% globally in the last 25 years. In the year 2014, there were 1.161 billion arrivals registered globally, which was a tremendous increase from 540 511 673 arrivals in the year 1995. In Kenya the number of air passengers handled by Kenya Airports Authority increased from 7 516 000 in 2010 to 8 882 000 passengers in 2014. More than two-thirds of these passengers traveling by air passed through Jomo Kenyatta International Airport (JKIA).¹

Although globalization promotes economic development and international travel, it exposes travelers to risks such as malaria and complications of conditions, especially during winter, as well as travelers’ diarrhea. 22% to 62% of Finish, Scottish, and American travelers have reported health problems dependent on the destination of travel and the season.² Progressive data collection has shown a risk of 3 to 6 cases per 1000 persons per month of staying abroad for hepatitis A, which is the most recurrent type of viral hepatitis. The incidence of travelers’ diarrhea varies from 20% to 50% in various countries. The most severe consequence of travel-associated risks is death, which occurs in approximately 1 in every 100 000 international travelers.³ A study among Swedish travelers found that one in every two Swedish travelers to...
tropical and subtropical areas reported some type of travel-related and, at times, crippling health problem.\textsuperscript{4}

**Broad Objective**
This study aimed to determine the level of utilization of pre-travel health services among Kenyan travelers departing JKIA.

**Specific Objectives**
- To evaluate pre-travel health services available for Kenyan international travelers departing JKIA.
- To assess knowledge, attitude, and practices (KAP) regarding pre-travel health services among Kenyan travelers departing JKIA.
- To identify factors associated with the utilization of pre-travel health services among travelers departing JKIA.

**Methods**

**Study Setting**
The present study was conducted at JKIA. Data was collected from 2nd August to 30th September 2017. The airport serves an average of 19000 passengers daily with direct flights connecting to Europe, the Middle East, the Far East, and the African Continent. Being an international and the busiest airport in Kenya made JKIA a preferred site for this study due to the possibility of including respondents with different characteristics as well as different travel destinations, hence ensuring both internal and external validity.

**Study Design**
This research was a cross-sectional descriptive study among Kenyan international travelers at JKIA.

**Study Population**
The study targeted Kenyan travelers departing JKIA who had attained the age of 18 years.

**Inclusion Criteria**
- Travelers 18 years of age or older;
- Travelers willing to participate in the study on a voluntary basis and without any incentive.

**Exclusion Criteria**
- Travelers who could not read and write English or Kiswahili;
- Extradited travelers.

**Sampling Techniques**
Systematic sampling was employed to choose 384 participants from among Kenyan travelers departing JKIA for other countries. Respondents were recruited from waiting lounges in the departure terminals (Terminals 1A, 1C, and 2). Travelers are expected to be seated in the lounges at airside for 30 minutes to 1 hour before boarding a flight. It was at this point when travelers were waiting that sampling was done. Thirty questionnaires were completed daily until 384 completed questionnaires were obtained. This was divided across all the lounges, which translated to 10 questionnaires from each lounge per day. Data collection was carried out from 2nd August to 30th September 2017. Key informants to be interviewed were purposively selected.

**Data Collection**

*a. Questionnaire*
Data was collected using a self-administered questionnaire distributed by research assistants. The first section of the questionnaire captured the respondent's individual and trip characteristics. The other sections contained questions that were used to assess KAP and examine the utilization of pre-travel health services among travelers. Respondents were asked to indicate if they pursued health information from specific sources such as the Internet and if they were carrying prescription medication related to the trip prior to travel. The questionnaires were administered in English and Kiswahili, which are the languages of instruction in Kenya. Each questionnaire was evaluated for completeness after the respondents finished filling in the responses.

*b. Key Informants*
Key informant interviews were conducted to address issues regarding the availability of pre-travel health services as well as gaps existing during the provision of such services. The 4 key informants interviewed were chosen purposively based on seniority and level of experience.

**Validity and Reliability**
Probability sampling was used during selection of the respondents, so each international traveler had an equal chance of getting selected to take part in the study. In addition, a pilot study was conducted prior to commencing the actual study in order to address ambiguous questions and ensure all questions were understood as intended by the researcher. Cronbach α was found to be 0.8, which signifies good internal consistency.

**Data analysis**
Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS 22. Descriptive analysis was performed in the form of percentage distribution, means, mode, standard deviations, and chi-square and inferential: logistic regression. The main responses from the key informant interviews were quoted to respond to the objective regarding availability.

**Results**

**Demographic Characteristics**

**Individual Characteristics of Respondents**
A total of 384 questionnaires were well completed by participants. The age of the respondents ranged from a minimum of 19 years to a maximum of 74 years with a mean of 37.23 years; the standard deviation from the mean was 10.62. There were more male (64%) than female (36%) respondents. Of the 384 respondents, 34.1% were single, 59.1% were married, 2.9% lived a celibate life, and 1.8% were separated, while 2.1% were divorced. More than half of the respondents were married, while those who were separated were the least in number. Slightly more than one third of all respondents had a degree certificate, while only 0.5% of all respondents had not attended school at all and 19.3% of all...
respondents had gone for postgraduate studies (Table 1).

Trip Characteristics
The majority of the respondents were departing to African countries, while the fewest number of respondents were departing to Australia. Of all respondents, 74% were going to African countries, 10.41% to the Middle East, 5.73% to America, 4.17% to Asia, 3.39% to Europe, and 1.56% to Australia. 15.6% had not traveled before, while the other 84.4% were not first-time travelers. 54.4% of respondents started planning for their trip less than a month before their departure date, while 45.6% planned for their trip more than a month prior to their departure date. 60.2% of respondents were visiting one country, while 39.8% were planning to visit more than one country. Half of the respondents were traveling for business or to attend conferences and conventions (50.5%). The category with the least number of travelers was that of those traveling for missionary work (2.3%). The number of those visiting friends and relatives was almost equal to that of tourists, 13% and 12.5%, respectively. 40.1% of the respondents were going out of the country for less than two weeks, 31.3% for between 2 weeks and three months, and 28.6% had a trip duration meant to be more than 3 months (Table 2).

Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Respondents in Relation to Utilization of Pre-travel Health Advice
Knowledge
70.6% of the respondents knew of some health services offered to international travelers, while 29.4% were not aware of any health services offered to international travelers (Figure 1). Of the 70.6% of respondents who knew services were offered to international travelers, 84.2% knew of vaccinations, 11.28% were aware of health advice given to international travelers, and 4.14% were aware of drugs for self-medication. One traveler talked of full-body medical examinations as part of the services they knew. The majority of the respondents indicated that vaccination is important to avoid health problems as well as challenges at points of entry. It is also noteworthy that 4.9% of respondents did not know the importance of getting vaccinated at all (Table 4).

The majority of the respondents (70.83%) were aware of some existing travel recommendation guidelines, while the other 29.17% were unaware of any existing travel recommendations or guidelines for yellow fever (Table 5).

As seen in Table 6, 41.1% of the respondents learned of yellow fever guidelines from the Kenyan government, 24.4% from the World Health Organization, and 15.9% from the CDC. Other respondents learned of the guidelines from more
## Table 3. Independent Predictors of Seeking Professional Pre-travel Health Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>95% CI for OR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respondent's destination</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>-1.898</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>America</td>
<td>-1.153</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>-0.857</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>-2.015</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>-0.511</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age group of respondent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-35 years</td>
<td>2.146</td>
<td>8.550</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-50 years</td>
<td>2.595</td>
<td>13.400</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of countries being visited</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting 1 country</td>
<td>2.356</td>
<td>10.550</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting more than 1 country</td>
<td>1.342</td>
<td>3.827</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for travel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting friend and relatives</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacation/tourism</td>
<td>2.805</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/convention/conferences</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study/research</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical care/procedure</td>
<td>2.372</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of stay</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than two weeks</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 2 weeks and 3 months</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 3 months</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>2.529</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celibate</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended school</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>1.259</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate/Diploma</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>History of previous travel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of previous travel</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No history of previous travel</td>
<td>1.602</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: OR, odds ratio.
than one source: 6.4% from both the Kenyan government and the CDC, 4.8% from the Kenyan government and the WHO, and 4.4% from the WHO and the CDC. Others learned about them from other sources including private doctors (Table 6).

### Attitude

The majority of respondents (90.6%) perceived vaccination as important prior to travel, while the minority (9.4%) thought vaccination prior to travel is not important (Figure 2). Most of the respondents (74.7%) thought it was important to visit a healthcare provider when already vaccinated, but 25.3% thought it was not important to visit a healthcare provider when already vaccinated (Figure 3). The majority of the respondents (91.1%) thought that obtaining information on likely health risks prior to travel would reduce the chances of falling sick while abroad, while 8.9% perceived that obtaining information prior to travel as unlikely to reduce the chances of getting sick while abroad (Figure 4). Slightly more than a third of the respondents (67.4%) were afraid of acquiring infections while abroad, while 32.6% were not afraid of acquiring infections (Figure 5).

The majority of respondents (72.4%) were satisfied with the pre-travel health services offered, while 27.6% expressed dissatisfaction (Table 7). The majority of respondents were dissatisfied with the pre-travel health services offered, because only vaccines were given. Other reasons for dissatisfaction were that no advice was given and the cost of the vaccines was high (Figure 6).

### Practices

Vaccination was the most sought-after pre-travel health...
service. 63.2% of the respondents received vaccinations only, 7.5% got health advice on how to stay healthy abroad, and 3.2% got drugs for self-medication only. There were other travelers who utilized more than one pre-travel health service: 5.1% got health advice as well as vaccinations, 2.67% got drugs for self-medication and vaccinations, and 1.33% got drugs for self-medication, vaccinations, and information on how to stay healthy while abroad. 16.5% of the respondents did not get any health services prior to travel, while 0.5% indicated they had obtained other services, such as lung function tests (Table 8).

Half of the respondents obtained pre-travel health services from government designated vaccination centers, 20% from private clinics, 12.58% from health insurance companies, and 11.4% from travel agents. The fewest respondents obtained pre-travel health advice from internet sources (3.8%) and general practitioners (1.9%) (Table 9).

The majority of the respondents went for pre-travel health advice more than 6 weeks prior to departure. 10.68% went between 4 to 6 weeks, 8.33% between 3 to 4 weeks, 12.76% between 2 to 3 weeks, and 14.58% between 1 to 2 weeks. The least were those who sought services less than one week before departure (9.12%). 16.4% of the respondents never sought any pre-travel health services (Figure 7). The majority of the travelers who never sought pre-travel health advice did...
so because they knew what to do when abroad, 28.8% did not know where to obtain the services, and 19.7% got the services from their family doctor. Others had nobody to inform them (6.1%), or the place to obtain pre-travel health services was far away from them (4.5%) (Table 10).

Slightly more than half of the respondents (51.6%) had not used self-medication during their previous travels, while 39.8% of the respondents admitted to having used self-medication during previous travels. The rest (8.6%) of the respondents were first-time travelers (Figure 8). 60.53% of children traveling were fully immunized, while 15% of children traveling were not fully immunized (Figure 9). Yellow fever and hepatitis B vaccines were the most common vaccines received by the respondents with 68.8% and 26.6% of total travelers interviewed, respectively. The least common vaccine was for influenza; only 9% of respondents had received it (Table 11).

Factors Affecting Utilization of Pre-travel Health Advice
The odds of deciding to seek pre-travel health services were 5.66 times higher for men than for women. The odds ratio given by the model shows that the odds of seeking pre-travel health services for single people compared with those who were married was 3.8. This indicates that single people were 3.8 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than married people. Married subjects were 1.9 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those who were separated. Those who were educated up to a secondary school level were 2.9 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those who never attended school. Those who went up to secondary school were 1.3 times more likely to seek pre-travel health advice than those who held first degrees. Those who held a first degree were 2.5 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those who held a second degree. The odds of one with a history of previous travel seeking pre-travel health services were 1.51, and the odds of one with no history of previous travel seeking pre-travel health advice were 1.602. The odds of seeking pre-travel health advice for those with a history of previous travel compared with those with no history of previous travel were 1. This means that there was no significant variation regarding seeking pre-travel health services between those who had traveled before and those who had never traveled. Those who were visiting Europe were the most likely to seek pre-travel health advice followed by those visiting the Middle East. Those visiting Europe were 4.5 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those visiting the Middle East. Those visiting Europe were 4.5 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services compared with those visiting Asia, those visiting Europe were 4 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services compared with those visiting Africa, and those visiting Europe were 1.9 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services compared with those visiting Europe. Therefore, it is not surprising that people heading to these regions were more likely to seek pre-travel health advice.

Table 10. Reasons for Not Seeking Pre-travel Health Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for Not Seeking Pre-travel Health Services</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nobody informed me.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I got information from my family doctor.</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because I know what to do.</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know where to get the advice.</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is far away from me.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Types of Vaccines Received by Respondents Prior to Travel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Immunization Status</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholera</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>79.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow fever</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis A</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>73.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polio</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetanus</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>88.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhoid</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>88.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles/mumps/rubella</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
more likely to seek pre-travel health services compared with those visiting America.

If a respondent were visiting friends and relatives, the odds of seeking pre-travel health services were 2.75. If the subjects were going for vacation/tourism, the odds of seeking pre-travel health services were 16.53. If a subject’s reason for travel was business/convention or conferences, the odds of seeking pre-travel health services were 1. If the reason for travel was research, the odds that the respondent would have sought pre-travel health services were 2.66. For those going for missionary work, the odds that they would have sought pre-travel health services were 1.09, and for those who were going for healthcare, the odds that they would have sought pre-travel health advice were 10.72. From the model, those going for vacation/tourism were the most likely to seek pre-travel health services followed by those going for medical care/procedure. Those going for business/convention/conferences or mission work were the least likely to seek pre-travel health advice. Respondents between 36 and 50 years of age were 1.6 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services compared with those between the ages of 18 and 35. Those travelers whose duration of stay was between 2 weeks and 3 months were the most likely to seek pre-travel health services; they were 2.5 times more likely to seek pre-travel health advice than those whose duration of stay was less than two weeks and 1.5 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services compared with those whose duration of stay was more than 3 months. Those visiting only one country were 2.356 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services compared with those visiting two countries or more (Table 3).

**Availability of Pre-Travel Health Services in Kenya (Qualitative Results)**

Table 12 shows key themes from key informants’ interviews.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What are the major pre-travel health services offered at JKIA?</td>
<td>“I am not sure we do much for travelers departing beside vaccinating against yellow fever or polio, but advice is given upon request. The traveler has to initiate.” (female, 35 years old).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there specific services offered to specific groups?</td>
<td>“There are no specific services for specific groups. At times we talk to athletes prior to departure,” (male, 55 years old).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“The only group of people I can categorize as special are those going for Hajj; otherwise, I can’t think of any other,” (female, 43 years old).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What avenues do you use to reach out to travelers with pre-travel health services?</td>
<td>“That’s a bit hard, because we, as port health officers, do not often interact with departing passengers. Our focus is more on arriving passengers due to the risk they pose to the country in case they come in with an infectious disease,” (female, 45 years old).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a structure through which a traveler can get information from various institutions?</td>
<td>“There are no structures that I know of,” (male, 55 years).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Structures are there for international travelers arriving into the country, but not those departing to other countries,” (female, 35 years old).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What institutions are involved in providing pre-travel health advice?</td>
<td>“There are no other institutions involved; this is the mandate of the Port Health Department under the Ministry of Health,” (female, 43 years old).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you encourage travelers to visit health clinics prior to travel?</td>
<td>“We do not often visit the terminals where departing passengers are handled. However, whenever we meet travel agents, we request that they ensure travelers are vaccinated depending on their destination,” (female, 35 years old).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the avenues used to reach out to travelers with healthcare information prior to travel are adequate?</td>
<td>“We focus on the passengers arriving from other destinations due to the risk involved to the country as a whole, but it is also important that the Kenyan government puts up measures to protect the health of its citizens,” (female, 43 years old).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“It’s not adequate. Information is passed through a third party, and hence can be easily distorted. Additionally, travelers in this category are always in a hurry; hence, talking to them during that time is mostly perceived as an inconvenience,” (male, 55 years old).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

**Knowledge, Attitude, And Practices of Respondents With Regard to Seeking Pre-travel Health Services**

**Knowledge**

The majority of respondents (70.6%) knew of at least one health service offered to international travelers in Kenya. However, 29.4% were not aware of such health services. 84.2% of those who knew of services offered to international travelers knew only of vaccinations. Only 11.28% were aware of the availability of health advice, which was lower than the rate of 48% reported in a study conducted in the Doha, Qatar International Airport. In addition, only 4.14% of the study participants were aware of drugs for self-medication as a pre-travel health service. When asked about the importance of pre-travel health services, the majority of respondents associated it with avoiding health problems (71.9%) and challenges at points of entry (21.1%). In a study among Dubai travelers, the majority of the respondents (95%) were aware of the importance of pre-travel health services in avoiding health problems when abroad. In this study, 4.9% of the respondents did not know the importance of vaccinations.

70.53% of travelers were aware of existing travel recommendation guidelines. The most common sources of guidelines were the Kenyan government (52.3%) and the WHO (29.2%). This contradicts what was said by the key informant interviewees who said that Kenya has no guidelines itself but uses standard operating procedures derived from the WHO guidelines.

**Attitude**

Generally, travelers had a positive attitude towards pre-travel health services. 90.6% of the respondents recognized the importance of vaccinations. From the study, 70.97% actually went for vaccinations. This is in line with a study conducted...
among Dubai travelers in which 96.2% perceived vaccinations to be important. In this study, it was found that only 32% of travelers would visit a healthcare provider when already vaccinated. This result was contrary to those of the current study where three quarters (74.7%) of the respondents indicated it is important to visit a healthcare provider even when already vaccinated.

The majority of respondents (91.1%) said that receiving information on likely health risks prior to travel reduces the chances of falling sick while abroad. This means that despite as few as 13.93% obtaining pre-travel health advice, the respondents perceived it as important. Reduced risk perception translates to negative health-seeking behavior. 32.6% of the respondents were not afraid of acquiring new infections when abroad. Poor risk perception was also reported in a similar study in Doha, Qatar.

About 28% of the respondents were not satisfied with the pre-travel health services given. The reasons for dissatisfaction were that only vaccinations were given (47.3%), no advice was given (31.2%), and it was expensive (21.5%). In the case of the study in Qatar, 32.4% of travelers were not satisfied and the single cause of dissatisfaction reported by the majority of respondents (33.3%) was that only some advice was given.

Practices
Variations exist in the pre-travel health-seeking behavior of travelers in relation to the existing published literature. Based on this study, while only 16.5% of all respondents never got any health services prior to departure, pre-travel health advice was obtained by only 13.93% of respondents. This is slightly below the 22.5% obtained by a similar study conducted at Muscat International Airport. A similar study among Australian travelers found that only 31% of respondents sought pre-travel health advice. Almost three quarters (70.97%) of respondents indicated they had received at least one vaccine for purposes of travel. Carrying drugs for self-medication was not a common practice among respondents, since less than one tenth (7.2%) had drugs for self-treatment in case of a health problem while abroad. Elsewhere, a study among travelers in the United States showed that, although 73% of respondents traveling to malaria endemic regions perceived themselves as susceptible, only 46% went for antimalarial medications, and only 5% of respondents went for a yellow fever vaccine.

The most common source of pre-travel health advice among respondents was government designated centers where half (50.32%) of the respondents sought pre-travel health services. Health insurance companies, travel agents, private clinics, and general practitioners were other mentioned sources that were less popular among the respondents. The results indicated there is an under-utilization of the Internet (3.5%) in the acquisition of pre-travel health services despite key informant interviews revealing a lack of adequate avenues to pass information to departing international travelers. This is contrary to the study in 2010, where the Internet and primary healthcare workers were the most common sources of pre-travel health services and advice. A different study revealed that approximately one third of respondents went for health services only within two weeks of departure, while in the current study, 23.74% sought health services within 2 weeks prior to departure. This poses a risk of traveling before acquiring immunity, since some vaccines need as much time as 10 days as in the case of the yellow fever vaccine.

Time has been reported in other studies as a reason for failing to seek pre-travel health services; in the current study, 39.8% of respondents who did not seek pre-travel health services said they knew what to do. Slightly more than half of the respondents (51.6%) had not used self-medication during their previous travels. This could either mean they had never fallen ill or they had not carried any drugs for self-treatment. More than half of the respondents (60.53%) traveling with children had the children fully immunized prior to departure. The most common sought-after vaccines among respondents were yellow fever (68.8%) and hepatitis B (26.6%), while the least common among the respondents was influenza (9.1%). The time that had elapsed since a respondent received a vaccine and the time they participated in this study made this data subject to recall bias, because it was self-reported.

Factors Associated With the Utilization of Pre-travel Health Services
The odds of deciding to seek pre-travel health services were 5.66 times higher for men than they were for women. For men, the odds were 62.3, while for women they were 11. This is in line with the results of a study conducted in Dubai, UAE in which being male was more greatly associated with visiting a travel clinic than being female.

When marital status was assessed, the odds of a single person seeking pre-travel compared with a married person was 3.8. This indicated that single people were 3.8 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than married people. This is similar to the results of a study done among travelers departing Sydney and Bangkok airports in which a married traveler was 1.81 times more likely to seek travel health services than one who was married. Married subjects were 1.9 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those who were separated.

Those who were educated up to a secondary school level were 2.9 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those who never attended school. This is in line with a study conducted in Doha, Qatar in which having gone up to secondary school was associated with higher uptake of pre-travel health services. Beyond secondary school, the rate of uptake of pre-travel health services decreased with increases in the level of education. Those who went up to secondary school were 1.3 times more likely to seek pre-travel health advice than those who held a first degree. Those who held a first degree were 2.5 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those who held a second degree.

Having a history of previous travel did not in any way affect pre-travel health services-seeking behavior. The odds of seeking pre-travel health advice with a history of previous travel compared with the odds with no history of previous travel were 1. That means that there was no significant variation in seeking pre-travel health services between
those who had previously traveled and those who had never traveled.

Destination was seen to influence the pre-travel health services-seeking behavior of respondents. Those visiting Europe were 1.4 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those visiting the Middle East. Those visiting Europe were 4.5 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those visiting Asia. Those visiting Europe were 4 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those visiting Africa, and those visiting Europe were 1.9 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those visiting America. Those going for vacation/tourism were the most likely to seek pre-travel health services followed by those going for medical care/procedure. Those going for business/convention/conferences or mission work were the least likely to see pre-travel health advice. Equally, business travelers were reported to be 2.38 times less likely to seek health advice by a study conducted in the Sydney and Bangkok airports. In addition, a study conducted among Spanish travelers showed that tourists were more likely to be vaccinated than business travelers. Age was an important predictor of pre-travel health services-seeking behavior. Respondents were more likely to seek pre-travel health services as their aged increased. If a respondent was between 36 and 50 years of age, they were 1.6 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services compared with those between the ages of 18 and 35. Equally, the study conducted at the Bangkok airport showed that the odds of seeking pre-travel health services increased as the age of the respondents increased.

Those travelers whose duration of stay was between 2 weeks and 3 months were the most likely to seek pre-travel health services; they were 2.5 times more likely to seek pre-travel health advice than those whose duration of stay was less than two weeks. Additionally, those whose duration of stay was less than two weeks, were 1.5 times more likely to see pre-travel health services compared to those whose duration of stay was more than 3 months. The results indicated that the number of countries being visited by the respondents was a predictor for seeking pre-travel health services. Respondents visiting only one country were 2.356 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those visiting two countries or more. Contrary to this finding of the current study, the study conducted at the Bangkok airport showed that respondents visiting two or more countries were 2.38 times more likely to seek pre-travel health services than those visiting one country.

Availability of Pre-travel Health Services

The provision of pre-travel health services involves 5 elements, namely: assessing the traveler’s personal health status, assessing the risks involved in the anticipated trip, preventive advice, suitable vaccinations, and health assessment post-travel. The major pre-travel health services offered to departing international travelers were indicated as vaccinations, treating those who had fallen sick prior to departure, and health education to travelers who go for inquiries. Vaccinations available for travelers at JKIA were the yellow fever lifetime vaccine for those traveling to endemic regions at a cost of 3500 ksh and the polio vaccine given once every year to travelers going to India at a cost of 1000 ksh. One respondent noted: “I am not sure we do much for departing travelers other than vaccination against yellow fever or polio, but advice is given upon request. The traveler has to take the initiative. We also give medical assistance in case a traveler falls ill just before departure” (female, 35 years old).

Apart from travelers going for hajj, there are no special groups or categories of travelers, but there are groups of people such as lactating and pregnant women and the immuno-suppressed who are exempt from the yellow fever vaccine due to the risks involved. During travel, those groups receive a yellow fever exemption certificate. One participant observed: “The only group of people I can categorize as special are those going for hajj; otherwise, I can’t think of any other” (female, 43 years old).

According to the key informants, the Port Health Department at JKIA is mainly focused on securing the country from the introduction of diseases by arriving passengers as opposed to protecting Kenyans leaving to other countries due to staff shortages. In reality, whether a departing traveler gets pre-travel health advice or not is entirely dependent on whether or not they take the personal initiative.

“That’s a bit hard, because we as Port Health officers do not often interact with departing passengers. Our focus is more on arriving passengers due to the risk they pose to the country in case they come in with an infectious disease” (female, 45 years old).

There are no established structures from which departing travelers can get all the health services they need, especially information. As in the case of a disease outbreak, information is passed through various existing airport committees during meetings. The limitation with this is that when third parties are involved, the information is at higher risk of being distorted or not being emphasized as it should be when being passed to the travelers. “There are no structures that I know of” (male, 55 years old).

When the key informants were asked if there were other institutions offering pre-travel health services, they all agreed that apart from the Port Health Department under the Ministry of Health, no other institution is mandated.

“There are no other institutions involved, this is the mandate of the Port Health Department under the Ministry of Health” (female, 43 years old).

The informants highlighted that the avenues used to reach travelers with pre-travel health advice are inadequate as little attention is paid to departing international travelers.

“It is not adequate. Information is passed through a third party; hence, it can be easily distorted” (male, 55 years old).

Conclusion

This study concludes the following: Pre-travel health services available to international travelers departing from Kenya are inadequate. Apart from vaccinations obtained from government designated centers, there are no
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